

COF Barclay-Giel Seed Grant Applications - 2019

Round One Scoring Guide

Instructions to reviewers about scoring: Thank you for volunteering to participate in this year's grants scoring process. The first round of scoring will be based on some, not all, of the information provided in each application. The next round of scoring will be based on all the information provided in the application. If you have any formal connection to an organization that provided an application, please recuse yourself from participating in the scoring process. Please use the full range of points for scoring the response to each question. If the total scores you provide for all your reviews are in a very narrow range, you may be asked to reconsider your scores. Thanks again!

Question	Maximum Number of Points	What to Consider in Scoring the Response
2. Provide a brief overview statement about the applicant organization and its mission to advance public health.	5	More points to applicants with a well-defined public health mission (as opposed to just a health care mission) that have the ability to make a direct and relatively quick impact on improving public health.
7. Describe the specific public health issue(s) the grant funds will address and the desired public health goal.	10	More points for clarity and specificity of public health issue and goal; fewer points if the issue being addressed is less of a public health issue (e.g., blood donor drive)
8. Describe how the grant will be used to address the health issue described above (also known as the project) and what outcome constitutes a successful project.	20	More points for (a) clarity and specificity of proposed project, (b) how the COF funds will make a difference, and (c) whether the desired outcome is explained, appropriate, reasonably achievable, and (d) will the outcome have a significant public health impact.
9. Does your project support one of the Surgeon General's priorities? If so, which one, and, how does it support this priority?	10	All points if the project clearly supports an SG priority. Fewer points if questionable, and no point if it clearly does not. <i>(However, the applicants may have to be split into separate pools if these points give too much of an advantage to those that support SG priorities.)</i>
10. Describe an innovative or unique aspect of the proposed project. What makes this project different from projects with similar goals?	15	More points for more clarity and specificity about the type and degree of project innovation and/or uniqueness relative to other projects serving the same population.
15. Describe the planned "next steps" after the project is completed to address the public health issue stated above.	15	More points for clarity and specificity about how this project will be the foundation for future work (after the project) that would continue to address the same public health issue.
	Max = 75	